UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

: 15-CR-252 (RJD)

V.

: December 15, 2015

JUAN ANGEL NAPOUT,

: Brooklyn, New York

Defendant. :

:

-----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR ARRAIGNMENT
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT M. LEVY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: KELLY T. CURRIE, ESQ.

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
BY: KRISTIN MACE, ESQ.
EVAN NORRIS, ESQ.
TANYA HAJJAR, ESQ.
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS
271 Cadman Plaza East

Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant: JOHN PAPPALARDO, ESQ.

Audio Operator:

Court Transcriber: ARIA SERVICES, INC.

c/o Elizabeth Barron 102 Sparrow Ridge Road

Carmel, NY 10512 (845) 260-1377

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
2
```

THE CLERK: Criminal cause for arraignment, 1 case number 15-CR-252, United States v. Juan Angel 2 3 Napout. Counsel, your names for the record. 4 5 MS. MACE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Kristin Mace, Evan Norris and Tanya Hajjar for the 6 7 United States. THE COURT: Good afternoon. 8 9 MR. PAPPALARDO: Good afternoon, your Honor. 10 My name is John Pappalardo on behalf of Mr. Napout. 11 With me is Estoban Britt (ph), who is Paraguayan 12 counsel. 13 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 14 MR. PAPPALARDO: If I may, your Honor, Mr. 15 Napout is very fluent in English. He speaks five 16 languages and I'm not sure that an interpreter is 17 needed unless the Court desires. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Whatever you decide, your 19 Honor. I do speak English. 20 THE COURT: If it's less confusing to you to 21 have just one language spoken --22 THE DEFENDANT: If there's a word or 2.3 something that I don't understand, I'll talk to the 2.4 translator, but I think I can handle it. 25 THE COURT: Okay, perfect.

```
1
               THE DEFENDANT: If you agree.
 2
               THE COURT: I agree, of course.
               THE DEFENDANT:
 3
                               Okay.
 4
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you. Just in case, we do
 5
    have a Spanish interpreter ready and waiting.
 6
               MR. PAPPALARDO: Your Honor, one other
    housekeeping matter is that I filed a motion with the
 8
    Court under your statute for pro hoc vice. Everything
 9
    has been completed except the signature of the Court.
10
    The paperwork is before the Court, although I have
11
    extra copies here including the order.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. Happy to sign it now.
13
               Any objection?
14
               MS. MACE: No, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Looks good. So ordered.
16
               MR. PAPPALARDO: Thank you, your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Mr. Napout, you're here so I can
18
    be sure you understand your rights, the charges against
19
    you, make sure you have an attorney.
20
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes, sir.
21
               THE COURT: And make a decision on bail.
22
    see that there has been an agreed upon bond in this
2.3
    case but first, let me advise you, you have a right to
2.4
    remain silent.
25
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

```
THE COURT: Whatever you say here today
could be used against you. You have your attorney
standing next to you, so you can consult with him
before you say anything.
          Do you understand?
           THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I do understand
perfectly and that's why the attorney is here with me.
           THE COURT: The superseding indictment names
a number of people including you in the charges. It's
a fairly thick document.
          Have you had a chance to meet with your
lawyer and has he explained to you what the charge is
against you?
           THE DEFENDANT:
                          Yes, sir.
           THE COURT: You understand what the charge
is.
           THE DEFENDANT: I had the chance and I
understand.
           THE COURT:
                      Okay.
          MR. PAPPALARDO: And we waive the formal
reading, your Honor.
           THE COURT: How does he plead?
          MR. PAPPALARDO: He pleads not guilty.
          MS. MACE: Your Honor, for the record, I
might just note the counts that he's charged in -- it's
```

```
a 91-count indictment and Mr. Napout is charged in five
 1
 2
    counts; Count 1, the racketeering conspiracy; Counts 9
 3
    and 10 are wire fraud and money laundering conspiracies
    relating to the rights to the Copa Libra Tedores (ph)
 4
 5
    Tournament; and Counts 83 and 84 are wire fraud
 6
    conspiracy and money laundering conspiracy relating to
    the rights to the Copa America Centenario (ph)
 8
    Tournament, just to make that clear for the record.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay.
10
               You understand a conspiracy is an agreement
11
    between two or more people to do something illegal.
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13
               THE COURT: Any questions at all about the
14
    charges?
15
               THE DEFENDANT:
                                No, sir.
16
               THE COURT:
                           We have a proposed bond here.
17
    I'd be happy to read the conditions. Are any of the
18
    suretors here today?
19
               MR. PAPPALARDO: Two are, your Honor. May I
20
    approach the bench, your Honor?
21
               THE COURT:
                           Sure.
22
               (Side bar, off the record.)
2.3
               THE COURT: We're at the point where we're
2.4
    talking about the bond. It is a somewhat complicated
25
    bond and I'm going to ask the parties to put the
```

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

conditions on the record. MS. MACE: Yes, thank you, your Honor. What the parties are jointly proposing to the Court is a twenty-million-dollar appearance bond (that would be fully secured. It would be secured by ten million dollars in cash to be deposited with the clerk of the Court by tomorrow, a letter of credit issued by a reputable bank in the United States that is acceptable to the government in the sum of seven million dollars in favor of the United States. This is to be posted with the Court by Friday, December 18th. Real property would also be offered to secure the bond that has an estimated market value based on representations of defense counsel of approximately three million dollars, to be posted with the Court by Friday, December 18^{th} . So that's the --THE COURT: The financial aspect. MS. MACE: -- the financial aspect that represents how the bond will be fully secured. addition, there are additional conditions that will appear in attachment A to the proposed bond. First, the defendant must remain in and may not leave the Eastern District of New York, the Southern District of New York and the Southern District of Florida. The defendant will not directly or

around. We'll surrender it to the FBI in Miami, if that's okay.

2.3

2.4

25

THE COURT: Is that easier for you?

by the defendant. 1 2 Finally, a private security service approved 3 by the U.S. Attorney's office and the FBI will monitor 4 the defendant's physical location and provide security 5 24 hours per day, seven days per week. The private 6 security (service shall accompany the defendant during 7 all transit to and from his residence. The defendant may have private meetings with his counsel of record in 8 9 this case with the security service in sufficiently 10 close proximity to perform its duties. The defendant is subject to video 11 12 surveillance 24 hours a day, seven days a week, at the 13 doors of his residence and other points of entrance. 14 The cost of the private security service and the video 15 surveillance (shall be borne (solely by the defendant.) 16 Those are the conditions of the proposed bond. 17 In addition, we anticipate that there would 18 be two sureties who would cosign the bond, and they're being offered, it's my understanding, for moral 19 20 suasion. 21 THE COURT: Does that sound like what the 22 agreement is? 2.3 MR. PAPPALARDO: Yes, your Honor. 2.4 representation by the government is complete and 25 accurate in all respects. I would note that paragraph

```
4 does not say that he will not apply for a passport
 1
 2
    but I represent to the Court that he will not. Other
 3
    than that, it's complete and accurate and we agree with
    the terms that have been negotiated with the
 4
 5
    government.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. On the actual bond, it
 7
    says that he will not apply.
               MR. PAPPALARDO: Yes, understood. I just
 8
 9
    pointed it out on the attachment.
10
               THE COURT: I appreciate that.
               MS. MACE: I will note on the bond that
11
12
    we've provided to the Court, it says that the passports
13
    will be turned over by today, so we would agree to
14
    change that to December 18^{th} on the bond itself that
15
    appears.
16
               THE COURT: Right. I've done that.
17
               MS. MACE: Thank you, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Before we get the suretors, let
19
    me just go through the conditions.
20
               Do you understand all the conditions of the
21
    bond?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do
2.3
    understand.
2.4
               THE COURT: Because you're the one who is
25
    primarily responsible under this bond. You're making a
```

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

financial commitment but you're also making a commitment that has -- of your liberty. Your freedom depends on your following every condition of this bond. I want to be sure that you understand everything. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I understand. THE COURT: Okav. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand perfectly. THE COURT: Okay, good. There are other conditions on the back of the bond which I'm sure you and your lawyer can go there. There are some other conditions on the back of the bond. They're standard conditions. MR. PAPPALARDO: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You cannot commit a crime while you're on release. If you do not come back to court when you're supposed to, you could be arrested for bail jumping; that's another crime, things that I think you'll see from common sense. Anyone who signs this bond is liable for the full amount of the bond but of course, this bond is being secured by your finances. So I want to be sure that you fully understand that if you violate any condition of the bond, the government could come back to court and ask that anyone who signed the bond, but

```
particularly you, be liable for the twenty million
 1
 2
    dollars and immediately secure all the money or
 3
    property that has been posted with the Court.
 4
               Do you understand that?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: If for some reason, the security
    did not cover the entire amount of the bond, then you
    would owe the rest.
 8
 9
               Do you understand?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Is there anything I've missed
12
    from the government's perspective?
13
               MS. MACE: I don't believe so, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: From the defense's perspective?
15
               MR. PAPPALARDO: Not at all, your Honor,
16
    thank you.
17
               THE COURT: So I'm going to hand this down
18
    for you to sign and I'd ask you to have the suretors
19
    come up as well so I can --
20
               (Suretors are sworn.)
21
               MS. MACE: Your Honor, just at the
22
    beginning, it might make sense to confirm that they do
2.3
    speak English.
2.4
               THE COURT: Of course.
25
               MS. MACE:
                          Thank you.
```

THE COURT: We do have an interpreter here. 1 2 I don't know if there's any need for an interpreter. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, it's not 4 necessary, thank you. 5 THE COURT: We've already gone through all 6 the terms and conditions of the bond. It's a twentymillion-dollar bond. As has already been mentioned, 8 the bond is already secured by enough money to take 9 care of the twenty million. The only reason you're 10 here in addition to whatever else has been dealt with 11 is because you're family and it is thought that because 12 you're family members, you will have moral suasion, as 13 we call it, in other words that he will have more of an 14 incentive to follow the conditions of the bond knowing 15 that you've signed it. We're not looking for any 16 additional at this point to what's on the attachments 17 already on the bond. 18 I do have to tell you that in the event 19 whatever has been deposited with the Court is not 20 satisfactory, you are jointly and severally liable on 21 the bond. But as I said, the agreement with the 22 government and the defendant is that the money that 2.3 secures the bond -- that there's the full amount of 2.4 money to secure the bond already on that bond. 25 Do you have any questions about the bond?

```
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Have you discussed it fully with
 3
    Mr. Pappalardo?
 4
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: Did he explain -- did he answer
 6
    all your questions?
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
               THE COURT: Do you have any other questions
 8
 9
    you would like to ask him at this time?
10
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
11
               THE COURT: Are you signed the bond because
12
    you wish to?
13
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Yes.
14
               THE COURT: The bond is there for you to
15
    sign.
16
               MS. MACE: Your Honor, just for clarify of
17
    the record, before the sureties were sworn, I don't
18
    believe they put their name on the record. So in terms
19
    of having a valid indication, I think it needs to be
20
    clear that each individual in their own name has been
21
    sworn by the Court.
22
               THE COURT: Yes.
2.3
               I note for the record that the defendant and
2.4
    both suretors have signed this bond and for the record
25
    that both of the suretors were sworn before they
```

```
answered my questions.
 1
 2
               Is everything that you told me today true?
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER #1: Yes.
 3
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER #2: Yes.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 6
               MS. MACE: Your Honor, I do believe that for
    the record to be clear, they need to state their name
 8
    so that it's clear who was sworn today before the
 9
    Court.
10
               THE COURT: I don't know if they stated
11
    their names.
12
               THE CLERK: Could I have your name for the
13
    record?
14
               MS. PENAPUT: Yes, my name is Ruth Karen
15
    Ferster Napout (ph).
16
               THE CLERK:
                           Thank you.
17
               MS. FERSTER: My name is Veronica Napout
18
    Ferster (ph).
19
               THE CLERK: Thank you.
20
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
21
                          Thank you, your Honor.
               MS. MACE:
22
               THE COURT: Anything else?
2.3
               MS. MACE: Just with regard to the next
2.4
    status conference. This is a case that's been assigned
25
    to Judge Dearie and Judge Dearie's -- the government
```

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

was in contact with his chambers and Judge Dearie set a next status conference for March 16th, 2016 at 12:00 p.m. and indicated that it's okay for the parties or for the government to ask to exclude time until that date. I don't know that we have agreement on this so I wanted to articulate for the record the reasons the government is asking that the time be excluded all the way to March 16^{th} , 2016. Judge Dearie has set that date as the next status conference for this defendant. Judge Dearie did previously designate this a complex case under 18 USC 3161(h)(7)(A). In addition, because other defendants are still pending extradition to the United States and thus have not been arraigned on the indictment, time is automatically excluded under the Speedy Trial Act under 18 USC Section 3161(h)(6). For that reason, we ask that time be excluded in the interests of justice to March 16th. THE COURT: I understand Judge Dearie has also approved that, correct? MS. MACE: Yes. I can't say that he ruled on it but we were told by his chambers that it was appropriate for us to ask your Honor to enter that order today.

THE COURT: Any objection?

2.3

2.4

MR. PAPPALARDO: Your Honor, as the Court knows, this is a superseding indictment and it has been designated as a complex case. However, the position that I have is that I'd like to review in some detail, now that -- if the Court orders this bond to be acceptable, I'd like to spend some time with my client and make some decisions over the next couple of weeks as to what the position may very well be.

March 16th is a long way away. I informed the government that I don't know what position we would have on a motion for speedy trial and that it may very well be that even if we filed the motion for a speedy trial, given the complex case designation, that it wouldn't make much difference. Having said that, I certainly don't want Mr. Napout's rights to be penalized because he quickly and expeditiously waived his right to an extradition hearing and came to the United States.

What I indicated to the government was, I would be willing to certainly waive for a period of time until we could make an informed decision, and the decision at that time may very well be that we will go along with that. But I would like some grace period and that grace period we would deem excludable under

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

the Speedy Trial Act. So my suggestion would be to give us three weeks, a month, and then I will inform the government. And if we make a decision sooner, I will inform the government sooner, and we will take whatever appropriate steps would be necessary and inform the Court and things of that nature. But three months away is tough given the situation we find ourselves in now. Mr. Napout has been incarcerated since December 3^{rd} . We have not had time to address the niceties of the American justice system in any detail. I think that to make informed judgments, we would need an additional amount of time. I'm simply asking the Court to give us say four weeks, let's say a month, to make that decision, and we will inform the government. And during that one-month period of time, we will agree that that's excludable time under the Speedy Trial Act. MS. MACE: I think where we disagree, your Honor, is the amount of excludable time. I don't think the Court needs to have consent of both parties to make a ruling in this situation. The Court does have the power to exclude time to March 16th. That's what we're asking for in this instance. I will note that the status conference has been set for March 16th but nothing precludes Mr.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Pappalardo from asking the Court to advance that or making any request to the judge that he may have. as we stand now, the next status conference is March 16^{th} , and we ask that time be excluded until then on the bases that I indicated earlier. THE COURT: Hypothetically, if Mr. Napout were to consent to exclude time only for thirty days but then agreed that this was a complex case already and that there was really no basis to disagree with a further extension or exclusion, could that be done on papers? MS. MACE: I believe, your Honor, that he could -- the parties could ask for time to be excluded jointly in papers to Judge Dearie. THE COURT: Is there any prejudice to the government at this point if time were excluded only for thirty days, with either an appearance if there's a disagreement about further exclusion or simply a stipulation that would go to Judge Dearie after the thirty days? MS. MACE: I don't think there is any immediate prejudice during the time that is excluded, but it may be -- the government may ask the Court and may ask Judge Dearie to enter a longer period of exclusion because I think the Court can do so, given

```
the status of all the other defendants in the case.
 1
                                                          Τо
    answer your question, no, there's no immediate
 2
 3
    prejudice, but the government may explore whether to
 4
    ask the Court for other remedies.
 5
               THE COURT: It seems to me just from the
 6
    argument that you've presented that you're correct on
    the law and that there's more likely -- very likely not
 8
    a legal basis for the defendant to object to a further
 9
    exclusion. However, in an excess of caution, because
10
    exclusion of time is an issue that the courts like to
11
    look at carefully, I don't see that there would be any
12
    prejudice to you by doing that. It's more like you're
13
    going to win this anyway and I think what you're doing
14
    is foreclosing any other avenues of objections in the
15
    future if they were to arise.
16
               MS. MACE: If the Court would prefer to
17
    proceed in that way, then we'll fill out the form and
18
    indicate that both parties have consented to thirty
19
    days, and then the government may address it further
20
    with Judge Dearie, if that's acceptable.
21
                           Right, after thirty days or
               THE COURT:
22
    before. It's your choice.
2.3
                         Thank you. We'll take a moment
               MS. MACE:
2.4
    just to fill this out.
25
                           Do you understand what it means
               THE COURT:
```

```
to exclude time?
 1
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your
 3
 4
    attorney?
 5
               MR. PAPPALARDO: Very generally, your Honor,
 6
    but not specifically.
               THE COURT: Do we need to go over it now?
               MR. PAPPALARDO: I don't think so, your
 8
 9
           I think we can deal with that at a later time.
10
               THE COURT: But did you understand what your
11
    speedy trial right is?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
13
               THE COURT: And you understand that you're
14
    excluding the thirty days from today, the 15^{th} of
15
    December to the 15^{th} of January, from the speedy trial
16
    obligations of the government.
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do
18
    understand.
               THE COURT: At the end of that time, there
19
20
    may or may not be a continuation of the speedy trial
21
    exclusion. That's going to be up to the government and
22
    you, although my legal opinion is it's pretty clear
2.3
    that the speedy trial rights should be stayed at this
2.4
    point because of all the reasons that the government
25
    mentioned. But we're proceeding with the thirty days
```

```
1
    at this point.
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Thank you very much, your
 3
    Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Are you making this decision
 5
    voluntarily?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT:
                           Any questions?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No, sir.
 9
               THE COURT: Anything else?
10
               MS. MACE: Your Honor, the government has
11
    prepared a redacted version of one of the attachments.
12
    Just for the record, the bond has two attachments,
13
    attachment A and B. We've prepared a redacted version
14
    of B that just takes out the street address of a
15
    residence, and I'd like to submit that to the Court for
16
    the public filing.
17
               THE COURT: Has counsel seen that?
18
               MS. MACE: Yes. It's the last page.
               MR. PAPPALARDO: Yes, your Honor.
19
20
               THE COURT: Anything else?
21
               MS. MACE: Not for the government, thank
22
    you.
2.3
               THE COURT: Anything else?
2.4
               MR. PAPPALARDO: We just need wiring
25
    instructions, your Honor, which I hopefully can get
```

```
1
    from the clerk.
 2
                THE CLERK: You have to call our financial
 3
    department tomorrow.
 4
               MR. PAPPALARDO: Okay. Tomorrow?
 5
                THE CLERK: Tomorrow morning because they're
 6
    closed now.
 7
                THE COURT: Thank you.
 8
               MS. MACE: Thank you, your Honor.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. ELIZABETH BARRON January 20, 2016